

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/046,769	BROWN, GREGORY G.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638

**All Participants:**

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) Christian Cawthorn.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Gerry MacGregor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 May 2004

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Applicant approved the proposed examiner's amendments to the claims. The discrepancy in the continuity information between the instant application data sheet and the 1st paragraph of the specification (and the parent application) was discussed and Applicant will submit a correction so that the case can be allowed without a Quayle action.